

**CITY OF AUSTIN**  
**Board of Adjustment**  
**Decision Sheet**  
**E-1**

**DATE:** February 8, 2021

**CASE NUMBER:** C16-2021-0001

Y  Brooke Bailey  
 N  Jessica Cohen  
 Y  Ada Corral  
 Y  Melissa Hawthorne  
 -  VACANT  
 Y  Don Leighton-Burwell  
 Y  Rahm McDaniel  
 Y  Darryl Pruett  
 Y  Veronica Rivera  
 Y  Yasmine Smith  
 Y  Michael Von Ohlen  
 -  Kelly Blume (Alternate)  
 -  Vacant (Alternate)  
 -  Donny Hamilton (Alternate)

**APPLICANT:** Claudia Salguero

**OWNER:** Kate Ontes

**ADDRESS:** 2402 GUADALUPE ST

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant is requesting a sign variance(s) from the Land Development Code, Section 25-10-133 (*University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning District Signs*) (H) to allow for illumination of two (2) wall signs and two (2) projecting signs in order to provide signage for CVS in a “CS-CO-NP”, General Commercial Services- Conditional Overlay Combining District– Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (West University Neighborhood Plan)

*Note: The Land Development Code sign regulations 25-10-133 University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning Districts Signs (H) states a sign may not be illuminated or contain electronic images or moving parts.*

**BOARD’S DECISION:** BOA Jan 11, 2021 Board Member Jessica Cohen motions to postpone to February 8, 2021 due to technical difficulties, Board member Michael Von Ohlen seconds on a 9-0 vote; POSTPONED TO February 8, 2021 DUE TO TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES. FEB 8, 2021 The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Rahm McDaniel motions to postpone to March 8, 2021; Board Member Brooke Bailey seconds on a 9-1 vote (Board member Jessisca Cohen nay); POSTPONED TO MARCH 8, 2021.

**FINDING:**

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:

OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:

  
Elaine Ramirez  
Executive Liaison

\_\_\_\_\_  
Diana Ramirez for  
Don Leighton-Burwell  
Chairman